

MONTANA LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

Chapter 32 19 June 1986 Special Session

Bill H 45 S _____ Original bill & history C

H. Committee on Taxation

Hearing Date(s) June 24 ✓ C

June 25 ✓ C

_____ C

_____ C

Date Out June 25 ✓ C

S. Committee on State Administration

Hearing Date(s) June 22 ✓ C

_____ C

_____ C

_____ C

June 29 ✓ C

Did this bill originate in an interim committee? Yes No

Committee _____

Report _____

Note: A Conference Committee was appointed,
but its minutes were not recorded.

HOUSE FINAL STATUS

	AS AMENDED	
6/27	2ND READING PASS	55 44
6/27	3RD READING PASS	54 45

TRANSMITTED TO SENATE
DIED IN PROCESS

3 42 INTRODUCED BY LORY, ET AL.
GRANTING FIVE DAYS OF LEAVE WITH PAY TO EMPLOYEES
WHOSE PAY IS FROZEN

6/21 INTRODUCED
6/21 REFERRED TO APPROPRIATIONS
6/23 HEARING
6/25 TABLED IN COMMITTEE

43 43 INTRODUCED BY COBB
REDUCING SERVICE ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM MEMBERS

6/21 INTRODUCED
6/21 REFERRED TO APPROPRIATIONS
6/25 TABLED IN COMMITTEE

44 44 INTRODUCED BY KEENAN, TOWE, ET AL.
REVISE PROCEDURE FOR PROTESTING TAX AND LICENSE FEES
BY REQUEST OF REVENUE OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

6/21	INTRODUCED	
6/21	REFERRED TO TAXATION	
6/25	HEARING	
6/28	COMMITTEE REPORT-BILL PASS AS AMENDED	
6/28	2ND READING PASS	92 4
6/28	3RD READING PASS	89 4

	TRANSMITTED TO SENATE	
6/28	REFERRED TO TAXATION	
6/28	HEARING	
6/30	COMMITTEE REPORT-BILL CONCURRED	
6/30	2ND READING CONCURRED	29 16
7/01	3RD READING CONCURRED	31 19

RETURNED TO HOUSE
7/03 SIGNED BY SPEAKER
7/02 SIGNED BY PRESIDENT

7/03 TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR
7/15 SIGNED BY GOVERNOR
CHAPTER NUMBER 26 EFFECTIVE DATE: 7/15/86

45 45 INTRODUCED BY QUILICI, ET AL.
UTILITY TAX TO FUND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
BY REQUEST OF DEPT OF PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATION

6/21	INTRODUCED	
6/21	REFERRED TO TAXATION	
6/24	HEARING	
6/26	COMMITTEE REPORT-BILL PASS AS AMENDED	
6/27	2ND READING PASS	58 40
6/27	3RD READING PASS	57 41

HOUSE FINAL STATUS

	TRANSMITTED TO SENATE		
6/27	REFERRED TO STATE ADMINISTRATION		
6/27	HEARING		
6/27	COMMITTEE REPORT-BILL CONCURRED		
	AS AMENDED		
6/27	2ND READING CONCURRED		27 16
6/27	3RD READING CONCURRED		34 16
	RETURNED TO HOUSE WITH AMENDMENTS		
6/28	2ND READING AMENDMENTS NOT CONCURRED		54 42
6/28	CONFERENCE COMMITTEE APPOINTED		
6/28	CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT		
	SENATE		
6/28	2ND READING CONFERENCE COMMITTEE		
	REPORT ADOPTED	50	0
6/28	3RD READING CONFERENCE COMMITTEE		
	REPORT ADOPTED	42	5
	HOUSE		
6/30	2ND READING CONFERENCE COMMITTEE		
	REPORT ADOPTED	73	23
6/30	3RD READING CONFERENCE COMMITTEE		
	REPORT ADOPTED	77	19
7/01	SIGNED BY SPEAKER		
7/01	SIGNED BY PRESIDENT		
7/01	TRANSMITTED TO GOVERNOR		
7/16	SIGNED BY GOVERNOR		
	CHAPTER NUMBER 32	EFFECTIVE DATE:	7/01/85
HB 46	INTRODUCED BY WINSLOW, HAFEEY		
	LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST'S ACCESS TO AND DISCLOSURE		
	OF CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION		
	BY REQUEST OF LEGISLATIVE FINANCE COMMITTEE		
6/23	INTRODUCED		
6/23	REFERRED TO APPROPRIATIONS		
6/24	HEARING		
6/25	COMMITTEE REPORT-BILL PASS AS AMENDED		
6/26	2ND READING PASS		71 27
6/26	3RD READING PASS		66 25
	TRANSMITTED TO SENATE		
6/26	REFERRED TO JUDICIARY		
6/27	HEARING		
6/28	COMMITTEE REPORT-BILL CONCURRED		
	AS AMENDED		
6/28	2ND READING CONCURRED		50 27
6/28	3RD READING CONCURRED		44 4
	RETURNED TO HOUSE WITH AMENDMENTS		
6/30	2ND READING AMENDMENTS CONCURRED		95
6/30	3RD READING AMENDMENTS CONCURRED		95
7/01	SIGNED BY SPEAKER		
7/01	SIGNED BY PRESIDENT		

Taxation Committee
June 24, 1986
Page Eight

explained, and he feels that the view they are taking on this bill is consistent with that stand.

There were no further questions.

Representative Williams noted that the 12% puts this in the same category as other like property and they think the formula built in is the equalization factor and if they put that at the same percentage as they do other similar property, that the factor takes care of the issue on net proceeds and gross proceeds.

The hearing on this bill was closed.

CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE BILL 45: Representative Quilici, house district 71, Butte, advised that this bill funds the public service commission and frees up \$1.6 to \$1.7 million of general fund money. He stated that this bill does not give a blank check to the public service commission and he went over the bill with the committee.

PROPOSERS: Clyde Jarvis, chairman of the public service commission, distributed exhibit 4 to the committee, stating that they believe that this is a fair method of funding the commission as there are over 100,000 customers of rural electric and rural telephone systems who are being taxed who have no source of regulation from the public service commission, and there are also people who are on alternate fuels, such as coal, wood, oil and propane, who are also paying for the funding of the commission. He urged the committee to give concurrence to this bill.

Les Loble, representing the general telephone companies in Montana, testified that they pay a public service commission tax in Washington and Oregon and they support the bill.

Taxation Committee
June 24, 1986
Page Nine

Gene Phillips, representing the Pacific Power and Light, informed the committee that they serve six western states and every state, but Montana, has the public service commission funded by this method. He said that it works very well and he thought it would be beneficial to the state of Montana.

John Lahr, representing the Montana Power Company, stated that this is basically a policy decision to follow the governor's recommendation to shift this money to the general fund and to finance the operations of the commission through a fee. They feel that this is a legitimate way to do it and urged passage of this bill.

John Scully, representing A.T. & T., stressed that this bill is an excellent management tool at a time when they need it, but he wondered if, during these times, the legislative finance committee needs to have another agenda item.

John Alke, representing the Montana-Dakota Utilities, testified that they support the concepts of this bill and the bill itself as drafted.

There were no further proponents.

OPPONENTS: Julie Hacker, representing the Missoula County Freeholders, indicated that they were opposed to this bill as it was not right to bill the regulations out of the rate base from the people who pay the rates and they see this bill just as a part of budget shuffling.

There were no further opponents.

QUESTIONS ON HOUSE BILL 45: Representative Zabrocki asked what the costs would be to the municipals.

Mr. Jarvis responded that this has not been figured in the interim, but if the committee wished, he could provide those figures. He advised that it would be the same percentage.

Taxation Committee
June 24, 1986
Page Ten

Representative Sands asked why are motor carriers not included.

Mr. Jarvis replied that at the time this bill was drafted, there was a proposal by the legislative fiscal analyst to up the stamp fee for motor carriers from \$5 to \$10 per vehicle and the motor carriers, at the present time, are paying in over \$1 million a year to the general fund and \$10 would increase that to \$2 million.

Representative Asay asked if this would be marked up.

Mr. Jarvis responded that it would not be marked up. He explained that it is a line item of so much for rent, or whatever it is, and this will be so much for a public service commission tax and he advised that the Montana public service commission also receives some federal funds for their railroad safety program.

Representative Devlin asked if they set rates for railroads, to which Mr. Jarvis replied that it was only on intrastate traffic, from one point within this state to another.

Chariman Devlin asked if they would pay the full fee as other forms of transportation or utilities do on this.

Mr. Jarvis answered that they will and on station closings, consolidations, etc., they are in before them many, many times.

Representative Sands noted that he was concerned about how this process works as it turns the appropriation committee into a taxation committee.

Representative Quilici replied that is how the process has been done for the last thirteen years and there has never been any problem with that.

Taxation Committee
June 24, 1986
Page Eleven

He commented that it was his understanding that the department of revenue would like to put an amendment on this bill to clear this up and make this process a little more clear and he would have no objection.

Representative Williams suggested that they have the researcher work with the department on this.

Representative Gilbert asked if they have the intention of presenting an amendment, why don't they come up and be upfront about it. He insisted that the problem was if they come in with an amendment after they are in executive session, the people who testify on the bill do not have an opportunity to comment on that amendment and he did not think that was proper.

Representative Quilici clarified that he asked them (the department) how come they did not have any problem in funding over the last thirteen or fourteen years and they said they knew there was a little problem, but after this bill was proposed, they thought they better rectify it.

Chairman Devlin noted that they will certainly have to look for better answers than that.

There were no further questions.

Representative Quilici said that he could understand why the lady who was an opponent did not want any more taxes, but they have been here for two weeks and they have made some drastic, drastic cuts and he thought it was time that the legislature start looking at generating some revenue.

The hearing on this bill was closed.

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

VISITORS' REGISTER

TAXATION

COMMITTEE

BILL NO. HB 45

DATE June 24, 1986

SPONSOR Representative Quilici

NAME (please print)	REPRESENTING	SUPPORT	OPPOSE
CLYDE JARVIS	PSC	✓	
Lester H. Lobbe	Gen. Tel. Co. of the Northwest, INC	✓	
MARLENE COTTILL	PSC	✓	
John Sully	AT&T	✓	
Dan Elliott	PSC	✓	
Bob Nelson	PSC	✓	
John Alko	MDU	✓	
Julia Hacker	Trula Co. Franchises		X
GENE PHILLIPS	PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT	X	
ROSS BROWN	Northern Plains Res. Co.		
Theresa Carlson	Trula Co. Franchises		X
John T. Johnson	Trula Co. Franchises		X
John A. Lahr	MPC	X	
Edward E. Beach	Missouri County Franchises		X
Howard L. Allen	Mt. P. S. Co.	X	

IF YOU CARE TO WRITE COMMENTS, ASK SECRETARY FOR WITNESS STATEMENT FOR

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2701 Prospect Avenue • Helena, Montana 59620
Telephone: (406) 444-6199

Clyde Jarvis, Chairman
Howard Ellis, Vice Chairman
John Driscoll
Tom Monahan
Danny Oberg

June 25, 1986

Representative Gerry Devlin
Chairman, House Taxation Committee
Capitol Station
Helena, MT 59620

RE: HB45 (PSC Funding)

Dear Chairman Devlin:

During the recent hearing on HB45 (PSC funding), Representative Sands inquired as to the meaning and intent of a provision in the bill which states: "Any additional money required for operation of the department must be obtained from other sources in a manner authorized by the legislature." p. 2, ll. 18-21. The purpose of this letter is to follow up on the commitment made to provide further clarification of that provision. Also attached to this letter is a table of information in response to Representative Zabrocki's question concerning impact on commercial customers.

First, it should be noted that HB45 was designed as a virtual copy of the consumer counsel tax which has had a very successful history. Section 69-1-223(3), MCA, (funding of Consumer Counsel), currently contains language identical to that quoted above.

Second, this language provides for a response to extraordinary circumstances where, for example, some occurrence causes the Commission's expenses to exceed both its base and contingency appropriations. The Commission is then given the opportunity to seek a general fund supplemental appropriation, just as any other agency (including the Commission under current conditions) is able to do. The Commission may also seek authority to spend funds from other sources, such as the Federal government; testimony on HB301 in the 46th Legislature (when the provision was placed in the consumer counsel laws) explicitly refers to Federal funds in response to an identical question.

Representative Gerry Devlin
June 25, 1986
Page 2

Finally, this provision reinforces the proposition that, regardless of its method of funding, the PSC may not expend any money without legislative authorization. In this sense, it is a protection of strict legislative oversight, again a major rationale for this language in the 46th Legislature's review of HB301.

I hope this addresses Representatives Sands' and Zabrocki's questions. Thank you for your consideration of HB45, and please let me know if the Commission can provide further information.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Bob Nelson".

Robert A. Nelson
Chief Legal Counsel

RAN/dlc

cc: Committee Members
Representative Quilici

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2701 Prospect Avenue • Helena, Montana 59620
Telephone: (406) 444-6199

Clyde Jarvis, Chairman
Howard Ellis, Vice Chairman
John Driscoll
Tom Monahan
Danny Oberg

June 25, 1986

Representative Gerry Devlin
Chairman, House Taxation Committee
Capitol Station
Helena, MT 59620

RE: HB45 (PSC Funding)

Dear Chairman Devlin:

During the recent hearing on HB45 (PSC funding), Representative Sands inquired as to the meaning and intent of a provision in the bill which states: "Any additional money required for operation of the department must be obtained from other sources in a manner authorized by the legislature." p. 2, ll. 18-21. The purpose of this letter is to follow up on the commitment made to provide further clarification of that provision. Also attached to this letter is a table of information in response to Representative Zabrocki's question concerning impact on commercial customers.

First, it should be noted that HB45 was designed as a virtual copy of the consumer counsel tax which has had a very successful history. Section 69-1-223(3), MCA, (funding of Consumer Counsel), currently contains language identical to that quoted above.

Second, this language provides for a response to extraordinary circumstances where, for example, some occurrence causes the Commission's expenses to exceed both its base and contingency appropriations. The Commission is then given the opportunity to seek a general fund supplemental appropriation, just as any other agency (including the Commission under current conditions) is able to do. The Commission may also seek authority to spend funds from other sources, such as the Federal government; testimony on HB301 in the 46th Legislature (when the provision was placed in the consumer counsel laws) explicitly refers to Federal funds in response to an identical question.

Representative Gerry Devlin
June 25, 1986
Page 2

Finally, this provision reinforces the proposition that, regardless of its method of funding, the PSC may not expend any money without legislative authorization. In this sense, it is a protection of strict legislative oversight, again a major rationale for this language in the 46th Legislature's review of HB301.

I hope this addresses Representatives Sands' and Zabrocki's questions. Thank you for your consideration of HB45, and please let me know if the Commission can provide further information.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Bob Nelson".

Robert A. Nelson
Chief Legal Counsel

RAN/dlc

cc: Committee Members
Representative Quilici

June 22, 1986

COST FOR COMMERCIAL CUSTOMERS (A)

MPSC Tax
@ 2.5 X 1985 MCC Tax Rate

	<u>Monthly</u>	<u>Annual</u>
MPC Electric	.38¢	\$4.51
MPC Gas	.55¢	\$6.61
MDU Electric	.51¢	\$6.17
MDU Gas	.57¢	\$6.88
PP&L	.33¢	\$3.97
MBT	.18¢	\$2.12

(A). Average of small and medium sized businesses. (50,000 Kwh; 650 Mcf; 2 telephone lines). This includes the majority of businesses. The impact on large businesses and industrial customers would be their monthly bill times 1/4 of 1%.

WITNESS STATEMENT

NAME Lester H Lovelace, Jr. BILL NO. 45
ADDRESS Box 176 Helena MT 59624 DATE 6/27/86
WHOM DO YOU REPRESENT? General Telephone Company of the Northwest, Inc.
SUPPORT X OPPOSE _____ AMEND _____

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

Comments: Public Service Commissions in other states are funded by a mechanism similar to the one in this bill. General Telephone supports HB 45.

June 22, 1986

COST FOR COMMERCIAL CUSTOMERS (A)

MPSC Tax
@ 2.5 X 1985 MCC Tax Rate

	<u>Monthly</u>	<u>Annual</u>
MPC Electric	.38¢	\$4.51
MPC Gas	.55¢	\$6.61
MDU Electric	.51¢	\$6.17
MDU Gas	.57¢	\$6.88
PP&L	.33¢	\$3.97
MBT	.18¢	\$2.12

(A). Average of small and medium sized businesses. (50,000 Kwh; 650 Mcf; 2 telephone lines). This includes the majority of businesses. The impact on large businesses and industrial customers would be their monthly bill times 1/4 of 1%.

WITNESS STATEMENT

NAME

Julie Hacker

BILL NO.

HB 45

ADDRESS

AR Bx 335 Brainer

DATE

WHOM DO YOU REPRESENT?

Thelo Co. Insulators

SUPPORT

OPPOSE

X

AMEND

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

Comments:

1.6 million dollars passed on
to the consumer.

WITNESS STATEMENT

NAME John Alki BILL NO. 45
ADDRESS 406 Fuller DATE 6/24
WHOM DO YOU REPRESENT? my
SUPPORT ✓ OPPOSE _____ AMEND _____

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY.

Comments:

ROLL CALL VOTE

Tolson COMMITTEE

Date: 6/25/72 Bill # 45 Number 1

Motion: Amendment - Sander 4.53

Name	Aye	Nay
DEVLIN, Gerry, Chm.	✓	
WILLIAMS, Mel, V.Chm.		✓
ABRAMS, Hugh		✓
ASAY, Tom	✓	
COHEN, Ben		✓
ELLISON, Orval	✓	
GILBERT, Bob		✓
HANSON, Marian	✓	
HARRINGTON, Dan	✓	
HARP, John		✓
IVERSON, Dennis		
KEENAN, Nancy	✓	
KOEHNKE, Francis	✓	
PATTERSON, John	✓	
RANEY, Bob	✓	
REAM, Bob		✓
SANDS, Jack	✓	
SCHYE, Ted		✓
SWITZER, Dean	✓	
ZABROCKI, Carl	✓	
Totals	12	7

ROLL CALL VOTE

Texas

COMMITTEE

Date: 6/25-PM Bill # 45

Number #2

Motion: Do Pass As Amended

Name	Aye	Nay
DEVLIN, Gerry, Chm.		✓
WILLIAMS, Mel, V.Chm.	✓	
ABRAMS, Hugh	✓	
ASAY, Tom		✓
COHEN, Ben	✓	
ELLISON, Orval	✓	
GILBERT, Bob		
HANSON, Marian		✓
HARRINGTON, Dan	✓	
HARP, John	✓	
IVERSON, Dennis		
KEENAN, Nancy	✓	
KOEHNKE, Francis	✓	
PATTERSON, John		✓
RANEY, Bob		✓
REAM, Bob	✓	
SANDS, Jack		✓
SCHYE, Ted	✓	
SWITZER, Dean		✓
ZABROCKI, Carl	✓	
Totals	11	7

Taxation Committee
June 25, 1986 P.M.
Page Two

land side by side, one is a mining claim and one is not, neither are being extensively used, and one would pay a small fraction of tax as compared to the other.

Representative Ellison commented that you can't determine what the use of land might be in the future and it is the same with him - the whole ranch might be suitable for recreation and maybe when he retires, he will sell it to a developer, but you can't determine what he will do in the future.

Representative Cohen stated that they appreciate their concerns and they tried to address those concerns, but if it turns out that this is not workable, in six months they can come back in here and let the committee know and they can adjust it then.

Representative Sands made a substitute motion to add on page 2, line 14 the words that apply on amendment #7 "or if the surface is being used for other than mining purposes and has a separate and independent value for such other purpose".

A vote was taken on the substitute motion and it carried unanimously.

A vote was taken on the original motion and it passed unanimously.

Representative Keenan moved that the bill DO PASS AS AMENDED. The motion carried unanimously.

DISPOSITION OF HOUSE BILL 45: Chairman Devlin distributed exhibit 2 to the committee. Representative Williams moved that this bill DO PASS.

Representative Harp noted that this is a new form of funding the public service commission.

Taxation Committee
June 25, 1986 P.M.
Page Three

Representative Raney indicated that he had a basic problem with this as the railroads are going to be hit as a regulated carrier, but not the trucking industry.

Representative Harp explained that the trucking industry pays for a stamp for each cab and that money goes into the general fund and in the trucking industry, there is a lot of deregulation going on and he felt that the trucking industry is paying its fair share.

Representative Sands stated that he opposed this bill and he did not think they had to select the worst kind of a tax increase as this is \$1.5 million that is directly passed on to the consumer and it is the epitome of an earmarked account and not only earmarked for the public service commission, but is collected from the very people they are regulating.

Representative Sands moved to amend this bill on page 5, line 12 by striking the words, "section 4 of this" and said that if they have a special session to deal with the budget crisis, they should not pass such a broad scaled bill.

A vote was taken on the motion and the amendment was adopted with 12 voting for it and 7 against. See roll call vote #1.

Mr. Bohyer noted that on page 3, line 1, the word, "must" should be "may". Representative Harp moved this amendment. The motion carried with Representative Asay voting no.

A vote was taken on the DO PASS AS AMENDED motion and the motion carried with 11 voting aye and 7 voting no. See roll call vote #2.

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

June 25

19 86

Mr. Speaker: We, the committee on TAXATION

report HOUSE BILL 45

☒ do pass
☐ do not pass

☐ be concurred in
☐ be not concurred in

☒ as amended
☐ statement of intent attached

TO PROVIDE FOR FUNDING THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICE REGULATION BY
FEES LEVIED ON REGULATED COMPANIES;

1. Page ~~3~~, line 1.

Following: "[section 2]"

Strike: "must"

Insert: "may"

REP. DEVLIN

Chairman

2. Page 5, line ~~xx~~ 12.

Strike: "Section 4 of this"

Insert: "This"

MINUTES OF THE MEETING
49TH LEGISLATURE THIRD SPECIAL SESSION
STATE ADMINISTRATION
MONTANA STATE SENATE

June 27, 1986

The State Administration Committee meeting was called to order on the above date, in Room 331 of the State Capitol Building, at 1:50 p.m. by Chairman Haffey.

ROLL CALL: Senators Lynch and Mohar excused, all other members present.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 48: Representative Ed Grady, HD 47, Helena, said the reason for the bill was that the SRS is getting out of the Bill Randolph Shepherd Act so they will be phasing out the blind vending stands within the state and federal buildings around the state. This is mainly because of budget and administrative cuts in that area. This legislation gives blind people preference on bidding. It will keep the program going. SRS has the equipment in the buildings that they will let the blind people use. If something isn't done by the 1st of July, SRS will be selling the equipment. The Department of Administration will oversee the program. The Montana Association of Blind is in full support.

PROPOSERS: Maggie Bulloch, SRS, said they support the bill. It has been a costly program to administer. The preference bill is a good direction and will assist individuals in coffee shops who are blind so they will have equal employment opportunities. Visual Services Division within SRS will be available to these people so they can receive food service training.

Mike Muszkiewicz, Department of Administration, said the Department supports the bill. It gives a tie breaker preference to blind vendors without sacrificing free enterprise. It makes the competition substantially equal and gives the agencies flexibility to determine what they want in their buildings.

OPPOSERS: None.

COMMITTEE QUESTIONS: None.

Representative Grady, in closing, said it gives the blind a goal to help support themselves.

DISPOSITION OF HB 48: Senator Manning moved HB 48 BE CONCURRED IN. Motion carried unanimously. Senator Fuller will carry the bill on the senate floor.

CONSIDERATION OF HB 45: Representative Joe Quilici, HD 71.

Butte said this bill does two things. First, it funds the Public Service Commission through a fee rather than general fund money. It frees up approximately 1.6 million dollars of general fund money. He said the bill absolutely does not give the PSC a blank check. The PSC has to go through the budget process just like they do under regular funding. They have to go through a subcommittee, the full appropriations committee and the finance and claims committee. He referred to page 4 and the top of page 5 in the bill relating to the annual reviews.

He said this measure does not include the motor carriers. Representative Quilici said that, when you take funds from one entity of taxpayer in the state, you are putting the taxes on someone else. The rate payer is going to take up part of this tax. It will cost the average residential rate payer, under Montana Power Company electricity, an added cost of 6.8 cents per month and gas would be about 10 cents. For MDU customers, it would be approximately 9 cents for electricity and 10 cents for gas. He didn't think it would be hardly noticed on the rate bill. The appropriations committee still has the ultimate say on this budget. The power companies still have to justify their budgets before the appropriations committee. Rural electrics and co-ops will not come under this because they are not a regulated entity but, without this bill, they will be paying for the regulation of this service and Representative Quilici didn't think this was right. He said all but 10 states in the United States have this method of funding. Washington and Oregon were two that have this method and it is working very well for them, according to conversations he had with administrative people in those states. It is a new method and will free up 1.6 million dollars of general fund money.

PROPOSERS: Wayne Budt, Administrator, Transportation Department, PSC, said the commission supports this type of funding. Eighty per cent of the states use this method of funding and most of the western states use it. He called it a fair tax and it will be placed on the people deriving the benefit from the regulation. Approximately 17% of all Montana rural electric telephone customers are rural cooperatives and they will not come under the PSC.

Gene Phillips, Pacific Power and Light, said they strongly support this legislation. He feels it is in the best interest of rate payers and utility companies.

John Lahr, representing Montana Power Company, said they support this method of funding. He said Washington, Oregon,

Administration Committee
June 27, 1986
page 3

Idaho, Colorado, Wyoming and Utah use this method. It is the same method as used to fund the Consumer Counsel and it has been working very well for them.

John Alke, Montana Dakota Utilities, supported the bill.

OPPONENTS: None.

COMMITTEE QUESTIONS: Senator Farrell asked John Lahr why the termination date is July 1, 1987. Mr. Lahr said one of the members of the House Taxation Committee didn't like this method of funding so he put a sunset provision into the bill so, when the legislature meets in January of 1987, they could either extend or eliminate it at that time.

Senator Hirsch said he thinks it costs too much money to draft new legislation. He suggested the committee delete the July 1, 1987 date and get back with the House on the bill.

Senator Tveit thought a change of funding is an easier process than going through the appropriations process. Mr. Budt said the process is the same.

Senator Haffey told the committee there was a possibility this bill could be re-referred to taxation later this day, depending upon discussion with Senator Norman.

CONSIDERATION OF HJR 3: Senator William Farrell, SD 31, introduced the resolution which honors Martha Ann Burke, as mother of the year. He said the committee had already met her and he hoped the committee would take positive action and send the resolution to the senate floor.

DISPOSITION OF HJR 3: Senator Manning moved HJR 3 BE CONCURRED IN. Motion carried unanimously.

DISPOSITION OF HB 45: Senator Manning moved to reinstate the stricken language "Section 4 of this" and delete the added language "THIS" which put the bill back to the way it was.

Senator Tveit opposed the motion. He thought it opened the door to an easy way of rate increases by the utility companies. He said he would like to look at it in January and see if the bill was as good as they claim.

Question was called on Senator Manning's amendment. Motion carried. Senators Tveit and Harding asked to be recorded as voting no.

Administration Committee
June 27, 1986
page 4

Senator Hirsch moved HB 45, as amended, BE CONCURRED IN.
Motion carried. Senators Tveit and Harding asked to be
recorded as voting no. Senator Haffey will carry the
bill on the senate floor.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.



SENATOR JACK HAFHEY, Chairman

SENATE STATE Adm COMMITTEE

BILL HB 46
HB 48
HJR 3

VISITORS' REGISTER

DATE 6-27-86

Please note bill no.

(check one)

NAME _____

REPRESENTING

BILL #

SUPPORT

OPPOSE

—ENE PHILLIPS

PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT

AB 45'

Franklin B. Burtch

SRS

HB 48



Bob⁰¹ Nelson

PSC

HB 45

Van der Vliet

PSC

AB & T

✓

Wm. B. B. B.

ASC

17B45

LOW A / AHD

MPC

64

✓

Mikołaj MUSZKIEWICZ

DOA

11B98

Year	Actual (%)	Projected (%)
1950	7.5	-
1960	9.0	-
1970	10.5	-
1980	12.5	12.5
1990	-	14.5
2000	-	16.0
2010	-	17.0
2020	-	17.5
2030	-	17.5
2040	-	17.5
2050	-	17.5

John Alk

M.D.4

HB45

✓

Joe Taylor

Det 71⁴

2

PLEASE LEAVE PREPARED STATEMENT WITH SECRETARY

STANDING COMMITTEE REPORT

June 27

86

19.....

MR. PRESIDENT

We, your committee on.....**STATE ADMINISTRATION**
having had under consideration.....**HOUSE BILL** No. **45**

third reading copy (blue)
color

Quilici (Haffey)

UTILITY TAX TO FUND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Respectfully report as follows: That.....**HOUSE BILL** No. **45**

Be amended as follows:

1. Page 5, line 12.
Strike: **"THIS"**
Insert: **"Section 4 of this"**

AND AS AMENDED
BE CONCURRED IN

~~XXXXXXXX~~

~~XXXXXXXX~~

.....
SENATOR JACK HAFFEY,

Chairman.